arXiv:1511.08297v4 [math.GR] 25 Mar 2016

Algebraic subgroups of acylindrically hyperbolic groups

B. Jacobson

Abstract

A subgroup of a group G is called *algebraic* if it can be expressed as a finite union of solution sets to systems of equations. We prove that a non-elementary subgroup of an acylindrically hyperbolic group is algebraic if and only if it is a virtual centralizer of a finite subgroup. We provide some applications of this result to free products, torsion-free relatively hyperbolic groups, and ascending chains of algebraic subgroups in acylindrically hyperbolic groups.

MSC Subject Classification: 20F70, 20F67, 20F65.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **5**

2.1 Algebraic subgroups 5

2.2 Hyperbolically embedded subgroups 5

2.3 Groups acting on hyperbolic spaces 7

3 Proof of the main result **8**

3.1 Constructing a cobounded action 8

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.8 9

4 Applications **14**

4.1 Free products 14

4.2 Torsion-free relatively hyperbolic groups 15

4.3 Ascending chains of algebraic subgroups 18

1 Introduction

Let G be a group and $\langle x \rangle$ be the infinite cyclic group generated by x. For each $g \in G$, let φ_g denote the homomorphism $G * \langle x \rangle \to G$ induced by taking the identity map on G and sending

$x \mapsto g$. Given an element $w(x)$ of the free product $G * \langle x \rangle$, there is a corresponding function $G \to G$ whose evaluation at $g \in G$ is

$$w(g) := \varphi_g(w(x)).$$

Building on this notion, we write $w(x) = 1$ to represent a *group equation* in the single variable x with coefficients in G whose *solution set* is

$$\{g \in G \,|\, w(g) = 1\}.$$

The solution set above is called the *primitive solution set corresponding to* $w(x)$.

Definition 1.1. The *Zariski topology* (or *verbal topology* as in [5]) on G is defined by taking the collection of primitive solution sets to be a sub-basis for the closed sets of the topology. That is, each Zariski-closed set of G is of the form

$$\cap_{i \in I} S_i$$

for some indexing set I, where for each $i \in I$, the set S_i is a finite union of primitive solution sets corresponding to (single-variable) group equations with coefficients in G. Note that in general, the Zariski topology is not a group topology.

The Zariski topology (and its more general form in [2]) is useful in bringing notions from algebraic geometry to the group theoretical setting in order to aid in the study of equations over groups. The topology is also useful in the study of topological groups: Zariski-closed sets are closed in every T_0 group topology, and, in the case of countable groups, the Zariski-closed sets are the only such sets [13]. For some recent applications to topological groups, see [12] and references therein.

The following definition is motivated by the standard notion of an algebraic subgroup in algebraic geometry.

Definition 1.2. A Zariski-closed subgroup (or more generally, a subset) of G is called *algebraic*.

Example 1.3. For any subgroup $H \leq G$, the centralizer $C_G(H)$ is algebraic. In particular,

$$C_G(H) = \cap_{h \in H} \{x \in G \,|\, [x, h] = 1\}.$$

In [10], Kharlampovich and Myasnikov show that given a torsion-free non-elementary hyperbolic group G, any proper, first-order definable subgroup $H \leq G$ is cyclic. In the language of [2], G is a domain, so any Zariski-closed subset of G may be expressed as an intersection of primitive solution sets. Furthermore, since G is equationally Noetherian ([19, Thm. 1.22]), this intersection may be taken to be finite, so algebraic subgroups of G are first-order definable, and in particular, the structural result from [10] for definable subgroups of G holds for algebraic subgroups. In this paper, we obtain a structural result for algebraic subgroups in the more general case when G is an acylindrically hyperbolic group. Before stating this result, we briefly discuss acylindrically hyperbolic groups.

Let G be group acting on a metric space (S, d). Note that in this paper, all actions of groups on metric spaces are assumed to be isometric.

Definition 1.4. The action of G on S is called *acylindrical* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $R, N > 0$ such that for every pair of points $x, y \in S$ with $d(x, y) \geq R$, there are at most N elements $g \in G$ such that

$$d(x, gx) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, gy) \leq \varepsilon$$

For example, every geometric (i.e. proper and cobounded) action is acylindrical. However, acylindricity is a much weaker condition. E.g., every action on a bounded space is acylindrical.

Definition 1.5. If S is a hyperbolic space, then the action of G on S is called *elementary* if the limit set of G on the Gromov boundary ∂S contains at most 2 points.

A group G is called *acylindrically hyperbolic* if it admits a non-elementary acylindrical action on a hyperbolic space. (More about groups acting acylindrically on hyperbolic spaces, including other equivalent definitions of acylindrically hyperbolic groups, can be found in Subsection 2.3. For a more comprehensive discussion of acylindrically hyperbolic groups, see [15].) The class of acylindrically hyperbolic groups contains many interesting examples, including non-elementary hyperbolic and relatively hyperbolic groups, infinite mapping class groups of punctured closed surfaces, $Out(F_n)$ for $n \geq 2$, directly indecomposable non-cyclic right angled Artin groups, most 3-manifold groups (see [15, Appendix] for details), and groups of deficiency ≥ 2 [17].

Definition 1.6. Given an acylindrically hyperbolic group G, a subgroup $H \leq G$ is called *non-elementary* if for some acylindrical action of G on a hyperbolic space S, the action of H on S is non-elementary.

Example 1.7. Let $G = H * \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z} = \langle z \rangle$ and $H = F(x, y)$ is a free group of rank 2. Then the action of G on the Bass-Serre tree associated to the free product structure is acylindrical and non-elementary, but the induced action of H is elementary. However, H is a non-elementary subgroup of G since there exists another acylindrical action of G on a hyperbolic space (namely the action of G on its Cayley graph with respect to the generating set $\{x, y, z\}$) such that H acts non-elementarily.

We are now ready to state the main result.

Theorem 1.8. *Suppose that G is an acylindrically hyperbolic group and that $H \leq G$ is non-elementary. Then H is algebraic if and only if H is a virtual centralizer of some finite subgroup of G.*

It should be noted that we actually prove a stronger version of the forward implication of Theorem 1.8 (see Theorem 3.9) which is a result about the Zariski closure of non-elementary subgroups of acylindrically hyperbolic groups. In the course of proving Theorem 1.8, we obtain a technical result (Proposition 3.1) which seems to be of independent interest.

In the case where G is torsion-free, any such virtual centralizer is the entire group G. In particular, if H is an algebraic subgroup of a torsion-free non-elementary hyperbolic group G, then either the action of H on the Cayley graph of G is elementary (in which case H is either $\{1\}$ or infinite cyclic) or $H = G$, so Theorem 1.8 recovers the structural result for algebraic subgroups implied by the result of Kharlampovich and Myasnikov in [10].

Theorem 1.8 also yields the following corollaries, which we prove in Section 4:

Corollary 1.9. *Let A and B be nontrivial groups, and let H be an algebraic subgroup of $A*B$. Then at least one of the following holds:*

(a) H is either infinite cyclic or isomorphic to D_∞, the infinite dihedral group.

(b) H is conjugate to a subgroup of either A or B.

(c) $H = A*B$.

In the more general case of torsion-free relatively hyperbolic groups, we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.10. *Let G be a torsion-free relatively hyperbolic group with peripheral subgroups $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, and let $H \leq G$ be an algebraic subgroup. Then at least one of the following holds:*

(a) $H = G$.

(b) H is cyclic.

(c) H is conjugate to a subgroup of some H_λ.

Furthermore, if (c) holds for an abelian H_λ, then either $H = \{1\}$ or H is conjugate to H_λ.

Important examples of torsion-free relatively hyperbolic groups with abelian peripheral subgroups (the so-called toral relatively hyperbolic groups) include limit groups in the sense of Sela and fundamental groups of hyperbolic knot compliments. Limit groups are hyperbolic relative to their maximal abelian non-cyclic subgroups (see [6, Thm. 0.3]), while fundamental groups of hyperbolic knot compliments are hyperbolic relative to free abelian subgroups of rank 2 (cusp subgroups; see [8]).

Corollary 1.11. *Let G be an acylindrically hyperbolic group and let $H_1 \leq H_2 \leq H_3 \leq \dots$ be an ascending chain of algebraic subgroups of G. Then either*

(a) for each acylindrical action of G on a hyperbolic space S, the subgroup $\cup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} H_i$ acts on S with bounded orbits (in particular, each H_i is elliptic), or

(b) the chain stabilizes.

Note that in general, it is actually possible for an acylindrically hyperbolic group to have an ascending chain of (elliptic) algebraic subgroups which does not stabilize. Example 4.4 provides a construction of such a group.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Denis Osin for his guidance throughout this project, Sahana Balasubramanya for her careful reading and comments on a draft of this paper, and Michael Hull for a helpful discussion which led to the removal of some non-essential material.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Algebraic subgroups

Let G be a group, and let $\langle x \rangle$ be the infinite cyclic group generated by x. Notice that for two distinct elements $u(x), v(x) \in G * \langle x \rangle$, the equations $u(x) = 1$ and $v(x) = 1$ may have the same solution set. (For example, let $v(x) = w(x)^{-1}u(x)w(x)$ for any $w(x) \in G * \langle x \rangle$.) In this case we will regard the equations $u(x) = 1$ and $v(x) = 1$ as equivalent, as they both define the same subbasic closed set of the Zariski topology.

It is helpful, given a (not necessarily finite) generating set X of G, to view an element $w(x) \in G * \langle x \rangle$ as a word in the elements of $X \cup \{x\}$ and their inverses. In particular, note that for any cyclic reduction $w'(x)$ of the word $w(x)$, $w(x) = 1$ and $w'(x) = 1$ are the same equation and that therefore, we may always assume that the word on the left-hand side of an equation is cyclically reduced.

Remark 2.1. Viewing each $w(x) \in G * \langle x \rangle$ as a word in $(X \cup \{x\})^{\pm 1}$ allows us to observe that for any $g \in G$, the map $G \to G$ given by left (similarly, right) multiplication by g is a homeomorphism with respect to the Zariski topology. In particular, for each $g \in G$ and each word $w(x) \in G * \langle x \rangle$, let $w(g^{-1}x)$ be the word obtained from $w(x)$ by replacing each instance of the letter x with $g^{-1}x$ and each instance of the letter x^{-1} with $(g^{-1}x)^{-1} = x^{-1}g$, and note that if S is the solution set of the equation $w(x) = 1$, then gS is the solution set of the equation $w(g^{-1}x) = 1$.

Corollary 2.2. *If a subgroup $H \leq G$ contains a finite index algebraic subgroup A, then H is also algebraic.*

Proof. H is a finite union of cosets $h_1A, \ldots, h_nA$ ($h_1, \ldots, h_n \in H$), each of which is algebraic by Remark 2.1. □

2.2 Hyperbolically embedded subgroups

Let G be a group, and let $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a collection of subgroups of G. Given a subset $X \subseteq G$ such that G is generated by $X \cup (\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda)$, let $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ denote the Cayley graph of G whose edges are labeled by letters from the alphabet $X \sqcup \mathcal{H}$, where

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda.$$

It is important to note the use of disjoint unions here. In particular, if $g \in G$ appears multiple times in $X \sqcup \mathcal{H}$, then we include edges in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ corresponding to *each* of the distinct copies of g. We think of the Cayley graphs $\Gamma(H_\lambda, H_\lambda)$ as complete subgraphs of $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$.

Definition 2.3. ([7, Defn. 4.2]) For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, define the relative metric $\widehat{d}_\lambda : H_\lambda \times H_\lambda \to [0, \infty]$ as follows. A (combinatorial) path p in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ is called λ-*admissible* if it contains no edges of $\Gamma(H_\lambda, H_\lambda)$. Importantly, p may still contain vertices in $\Gamma(H_\lambda, H_\lambda)$ as well as edges labeled by letters from H_λ (provided those edges are not in $\Gamma(H_\lambda, H_\lambda)$). For each $h, k \in H_\lambda$,

define $\widehat{d}_\lambda(h,k)$ to be the length of a shortest λ-admissible path in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ connecting h to k if such a path exists. Otherwise, let $\widehat{d}_\lambda(h,k) = \infty$. It is easy to see that $\widehat{d}_\lambda$ satisfies the triangle inequality. The relative metric $\widehat{d}_\lambda$ is extended to G by setting

$$\widehat{d}_\lambda(f,g) := \begin{cases} \widehat{d}_\lambda(f^{-1}g, 1), & \text{if } f^{-1}g \in H_\lambda; \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.4. The extension of $\widehat{d}_\lambda$ to G is a matter of notational convenience for the statement and use of Lemma 2.8.

Definition 2.5. ([7, Defn. 4.25]) Let G be a group and $X \subseteq G$. A collection of subgroups $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of G is said to be *hyperbolically embedded in G with respect to X* (notated $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$) if the following conditions hold:

(a) G is generated by $X \cup (\cup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} H_\lambda)$

(b) The Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ is hyperbolic.

(c) For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the metric space $(H_\lambda, \widehat{d}_\lambda)$ is proper, i.e., any ball of finite radius contains only finitely many elements.

Furthermore, $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is said to be *hyperbolically embedded* in G (notated $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h G$) if there exists $X \subseteq G$ such that $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$.

Lemma 2.6. *([7, Cor. 4.27]) Let G be a group $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ a collection of subgroups of G, $X_1, X_2 \subseteq G$ such that*

$$G = \langle X_1 \cup (\cup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} H_\lambda)\rangle = \langle X_2 \cup (\cup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} H_\lambda)\rangle$$

and $|X_1 \triangle X_2| < \infty$. Then $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_1)$ if and only if $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X_2)$.

Observe in particular that if $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is hyperbolically embedded in G with respect to some X, then $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is also hyperbolically embedded in G with respect to any set X' obtained from X by adding finitely many elements of G.

Definition 2.7. ([18, Defns. 2.19, 2.20]) Let $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ and let q be a path in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$. A non-trivial subpath p of q is called an *H_λ-subpath* if the label of p is a word in the alphabet H_λ. An H_λ-subpath p of q is called an *H_λ-component* if it is not contained in a longer H_λ-subpath of q, and, in the case that q is loop, p is not contained in a longer H_λ-subpath of any cyclic shift of q.

Two H_λ-components p_1, p_2 of q are called *connected* if there exists a path c in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ that connects some vertex of p_1 to some vertext of p_2 and the label of c is a word consisting only of letters from H_λ. Algebraically, this means that all vertices of p_1 and p_2 belong to the same left coset of H_λ. An H_λ-component p of q is called *isolated* in q if it is not connected to any other H_λ-component of q.

For a path p in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$, let p_- and p_+ denote the initial and terminal vertices of p respectively. The following lemma is a simplification of [7, Prop. 4.14] and is integral to the technique of the main proof:

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any n-gon p with geodesic sides in $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$, any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and any isolated H_λ-component a of p, we have $\widehat{d}_\lambda(a_-, a_+) \leq Cn$.*

2.3 Groups acting on hyperbolic spaces

Definition 2.9. Given a group G acting on a hyperbolic space S, an element $g \in G$ is called *loxodromic* if the map $\mathbb{Z} \to S$ given by $n \mapsto g^n s$ is a quasi-isometric embedding for some (equivalently, any) $s \in S$. Each loxodromic element $g \in G$ has exactly two limit points $g^{\pm\infty}$ on the Gromov boundary ∂S. A pair of loxodromic elements $g, h \in G$ are called *independent* if the sets $\{g^{\pm\infty}\}$ and $\{h^{\pm\infty}\}$ are disjoint.

The following definition is due to Bestvina and Fujiwara in [3]:

Definition 2.10. For a group G acting on a metric space S, an element $h \in G$ satisfies the *weak proper discontinuity* condition (or h is a *WPD element*) if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $x \in S$ there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\{g \in G \,|\, d(x, gx) < \varepsilon, d(h^M x, gh^M x) < \varepsilon\}| < \infty$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S)$ denote the set of elements $g \in G$ which are loxodromic WPD with respect to the action of G on S. It is easy to see that if G acts acylindrically (as in Definition 1.4) and S is a hyperbolic space, then every loxodromic element is a WPD element.

Lemma 2.11. *([7, Lem. 6.5, Cor. 6.6]) Let G be a group acting on a hyperbolic space S. Each element $h \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S)$ is contained in a unique maximal virtually cyclic subgroup of G which is denoted by $E_G(h)$. Moreover, for every $g \in G$ the following are equivalent:*

(i) $g \in E_G(h)$.

(ii) $gh^n g^{-1} = h^{\pm n}$ *for some* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iii) $gh^k g^{-1} = h^l$ *for some* $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$.

Corollary 2.12. *For each $h \in \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S)$, the subgroup $E_G(h)$ is algebraic.*

Proof. It is not hard to see that $\langle h\rangle$ is a finite index subgroup of $E_G(h)$ so that $E_G(h)$ is a finite union of cosets $k_1\langle h\rangle, \ldots, k_n\langle h\rangle$ for some $k_1, \ldots, k_n \in E_G(h)$. Noting additionally that $C_G(h) \subseteq E_G(h)$ by (ii) of Lemma 2.11, we have

$$E_G(h) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} k_i \cdot C_G(h) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} k_i \cdot \{x \in G \,|\, [x, h] = 1\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{x \in G \,|\, [k_i^{-1}x, h] = 1\}.$$

□

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of [1, Lem. 5.6]:

Lemma 2.13. *Suppose that G is an acylindrically hyperbolic group and H is a non-elementary subgroup of G Then there exists a unique maximal finite subgroup of G which is normalized by H, denoted $E_G(H)$.*

Theorem 2.14. *([15, Thm. 1.1]) Let G be a group acting acylindrically on a hyperbolic space. Then G satisfies exactly one of the following three conditions:*

(a) G has bounded orbits.

(b) G is virtually cyclic and contains a loxodromic element.

(c) G contains infinitely many independent loxodromic elements.

If G has bounded orbits, G is called *elliptic*.

Remark 2.15. In light of Definitions 1.5 and 2.9, Theorem 2.14 tells us that if a group G acts acylindrically on a hyperbolic space S, then the action is non-elementary if and only if G is not virtually cyclic and contains at least one loxodromic element.

Theorem 2.16. *([15, Thm. 1.2]) For any group G, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) G admits a non-elementary acylindrical action on a hyperbolic space.

(ii) There exists a generating set X of G such that the corresponding Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X)$ is hyperbolic, $|\partial\Gamma(G, X)| > 2$, and the natural action of G on $\Gamma(G, X)$ is acylindrical.

(iii) G is not virtually cyclic and admits an action on a hyperbolic space such that at least one element of G is loxodromic and satisfies the WPD condition.

(iv) G contains a proper, infinite, hyperbolically embedded subgroup.

Definition 2.17. A group G is called *acylindrically hyperbolic* if it satisfies one of the four equivalent conditions above.

3 Proof of the main result

3.1 Constructing a cobounded action

The proof of our main result relies on the existence of arbitrarily large finite collections of loxodromic elements in the non-elementary subgroup H with certain useful properties. Lemma 3.7 guarantees these elements, provided we can find a generating set of G satisfying the requisite assumptions. To find such a generating set, we prove Proposition 3.1, which also seems to be of independent interest.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that a group G acts on a hyperbolic space S and that H is a subgroup of G such that H is not virtually cyclic and $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a generating set X of G such that the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X)$ is hyperbolic, the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X)$ is acylindrical, and the action of H on $\Gamma(G, X)$ is non-elementary.*

To prove Proposition 3.1, we need three other results.

Lemma 3.2. *([7, Cor. 2.9]) Let G be a group acting on a hyperbolic space. For each loxodromic WPD element $h \in G$, we have $E_G(h) \hookrightarrow_h G$.*

Lemma 3.3. *([15, Thm. 5.4]) Let G be a group, $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ a finite collection of subgroups of G, $X \subseteq G$, $\mathcal{H} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} H_\lambda$. Suppose that $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$. Then there exists $Y \subseteq G$ such that $X \subseteq Y$ and the following conditions hold:*

(a) $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, Y)$. In particular, the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, Y \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ is hyperbolic.

(b) The action of G on $\Gamma(G, Y \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ is acylindrical.

Lemma 3.4. *([7, Cor. 6.12]) Let G be a group, $X \subseteq G$, $H \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ a proper, infinite subgroup. Then for every $a \in G\backslash H$, there exists $k \in H$ such that ak is loxodromic and satisfies WPD with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup H)$.*

If, in addition, H is finitely generated and contains an element h of infinite order, then we can choose k to be a power of h.

We are now ready to prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $h \in H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S)$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists $Z \subseteq G$ such that $E_G(h) \hookrightarrow_h (G, Z)$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists $Y \subseteq G$ such that $Z \subseteq Y$ and

(a) $E_G(h) \hookrightarrow_h (G, Y)$. In particular, the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, Y \sqcup E_G(h))$ is hyperbolic.

(b) The action of G on $\Gamma(G, Y \sqcup E_G(h))$ is acylindrical.

Now $E_G(h)$ is clearly infinite (since h is of infinite order), and $H\backslash E_G(h) \neq \emptyset$ (since H is not virtually cyclic). Furthermore, since $E_G(h)$ is virtually cyclic by definition, it is finitely generated. Therefore, we may apply Lemma 3.4 to $E_G(h) \hookrightarrow_h (G, Y)$ and any element $a \in H\backslash E_G(h)$ to conclude that there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that ah^n is loxodromic with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, Y \sqcup E_G(h))$. Then since $a, h \in H$, we have that $ah^n \in H$, so H contains an element which is loxodromic with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, Y \sqcup E_G(h))$. By Remark 2.15, the fact that H is not virtually cyclic and contains a loxodromic element with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, Y \sqcup E_G(h))$ implies that the action of H on $\Gamma(G, Y \sqcup E_G(h))$ is non-elementary.

Set $X = Y \cup E_G(h)$ and observe that the the hyperbolicity of the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X)$, the acylindricity of the the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X)$, and the fact that the action of H on $\Gamma(G, X)$ is non-elementary all follow from the G-equivariant quasi-isometry $\Gamma(G, Y \sqcup E_G(h)) \to \Gamma(G, X)$ given by fixing vertices and unifying duplicate copies of edges wherever necessary. □

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.8

We begin by proving a technical lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a group, $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ a collection of subgroups of G, $\mathcal{H} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} H_\lambda$, and $X \subseteq G$. Suppose that $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$, $n \geq 2$, $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in X$, and $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n \in H_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $g_1h_1g_2h_2 \dots g_nh_n = 1$. If for each i we have $\widehat{d}_\lambda(h_i, 1) > 2Cn$, where $\widehat{d}_\lambda$ is defined as in Definition 2.3 and C is the constant given by Lemma 2.8, then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $g_j \in H_\lambda$.*

Proof. Since $g_1h_1g_2h_2 \dots g_nh_n = 1$, there exists a geodesic $2n$-gon

$$p = a_1b_1a_2b_2 \dots a_nb_n$$

in the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$, where each side a_i is an edge labeled by $g_i \in X$ and each side b_i is an edge labeled by $h_i \in H_\lambda$ so that the closed loop p corresponds to the relation $g_1h_1g_2h_2 \dots g_nh_n = 1$. Then for each i, the side b_i is an H_λ-component of p.

It suffices to show that there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the two H_λ-components adjacent to a_j are connected. If this is the case, then there exists an edge of $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ with label in H_λ which connects a_{j-} and a_{j+} so that $g_j \in H_\lambda$, finishing the proof.

Now for each i, we have $\widehat{d}_\lambda(h_i, 1) > 2Cn$, so Lemma 2.8 implies that none of the components $b_1, \dots, b_n$ are isolated in p. Let b_r and b_s be connected H_λ-components such that the number, N, of sides of p between b_{r+} and b_{s-} is minimal. If $N = 1$, then choose a_j to be a_s, where $b_{r+} = a_{s-}$ and $b_{s-} = a_{s+}$.

Otherwise, there exists b_t which is an edge on the subpath of p (or cyclic shift of p) between b_{r+} and b_{s-}. Since b_r and b_s are connected, there exists an edge e of $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ with label in H_λ which connects b_{r+} and b_{s-}. Let p' be the geodesic $(N + 1)$-gon formed by e and the sides of p between b_{r+} and b_{s-}. Then $N + 1 < 2n$, so

$$\widehat{d}_\lambda(h_t, 1) > 2Cn > C(N + 1)$$

so that by Lemma 2.8, b_t is not an isolated H_λ-component of p'.

If b_t is connected to any other H_λ-component of p', then clearly N was not minimal, and if b_t is connected to e, then it is connected to both b_r and b_s, so again N was not minimal. Hence it must be that $N = 1$, finishing the proof.

□

We will also need two more results for the main proof. Recall that two elements g, h of a group G are called *commensurable* if there exist $x \in G$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ such that $g^k = xh^lx^{-1}$ and called *non-commensurable* otherwise.

Lemma 3.6. *([7, Thm. 6.8]) Let G be a group acting on a hyperbolic space S. If $h_1, \dots, h_k$ are pairwise non-commensurable loxodromic WPD elements with respect to the action of G on S then there exists a set $X \subseteq G$ such that $\{E_G(h_1), \dots, E_G(h_k)\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$.*

Lemma 3.7. *([9, Lem. 5.6]) Let Let G be a group and $X \subseteq G$ be a generating set of G such that the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X)$ is hyperbolic and the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X)$ is acylindrical.*



Figure 1: The $2n$-gon p with additional edge e

If $H \leq G$ acts non-elementarily on $\Gamma(G, X)$, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist pairwise non-commensurable loxodromic elements $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in H$ such that

$$E_G(a_i) \cap E_G(a_j) = E_G(H)$$

whenever $i \neq j$.

It will be helpful in the next proof (and later in the proof of Lemma 4.3) to have the following definition:

Definition 3.8. Let G be a group and $S \subseteq G$. We say that two equations $p(x) = 1$ and $q(x) = 1$ are *S-equivalent* if for each $s \in S$ we have that $p(s) = 1$ if and only if $q(s) = 1$.

We can now prove the following result:

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose that G is an acylindrically hyperbolic group and H is a non-elementary subgroup of G. Then the Zariski closure of H contains $C_G(E_G(H))$.*

Proof. Let V be the Zariski closure of H. It suffices to prove the statement in the case where V is the union of finitely many primitive solution sets, since if

$$V = \cap_{i \in I} V_i$$

where I is some indexing set and each V_i is a union of finitely many primitive solution sets, then we will have shown that $C_G(E_G(H)) \subseteq V_i$ for each $i \in I$ and hence $C_G(E_G(H)) \subseteq V$. So

let $p_1(x), \dots, p_l(x)$ be elements of $G * \langle x \rangle$ such that

$$H \subseteq V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \{g \in G \,|\, p_i(g) = 1\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that for each i, $p_i(x)$ is cyclically reduced. Furthermore, we may assume (by taking a cyclic permutation of $p(x)$ if necessary) that $p_i(x)$ is of the form

$$p_i(x) = g_{1,i} x^{\alpha_{1,i}} g_{2,i} x^{\alpha_{2,i}} \dots g_{m_i,i} x^{\alpha_{m_i,i}}$$

where $g_{1,i}, \dots, g_{m_i,i} \in G$ and $\alpha_{1,i}, \dots, \alpha_{m_i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and if $m_i \geq 2$, then $g_{1,i}, \dots, g_{m_i,i} \neq 1$ and $\alpha_{1,i}, \dots, \alpha_{m_i,i} \neq 0$.

Set

$$k = l \cdot (\max\{m_1, \dots, m_l\} + 1). \tag{1}$$

By assumption, G acts acylindrically on a hyperbolic space S such that the action of H on S is non-elementary. By Remark 2.15, H is not virtually cyclic and $H \cap \mathcal{L}_{WPD}(G, S) \neq \emptyset$, so by Proposition 3.1, there exists generating set W of G such that the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, W)$ is hyperbolic, the action of G on $\Gamma(G, W)$ is acylindrical, and the action of H on $\Gamma(G, W)$ is non-elementary. Then, by Lemma 3.7, there exist pairwise non-commensurable elements $a_1, \dots, a_k \in H$ which are loxodromic with respect to the action of G on $\Gamma(G, W)$ such that

$$E_G(a_i) \cap E_G(a_j) = E_G(H) \tag{2}$$

whenever $i \neq j$.

By Lemma 3.6, there exists a generating set X of G such that

$$\{E_G(a_1), \dots, E_G(a_k)\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X).$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and each $n \leq m_i$, let $Z_i = \{g_{1,i}, \dots, g_{m_i,i}\}$ and let

$$Y_{i,n} = \{g \in \langle Z_i \rangle \,|\, |g|_{Z_i} \leq 2^{m_i - n}\}.$$

I.e., $Y_{i,n}$ is the set of all elements of G which can be expressed as products of $2^{m_i - n}$ or fewer (not necessarily distinct) elements of $\{g_{1,i}, \dots, g_{m_i,i}\}$ and their inverses. Let

$$Y = \cup_{i=1}^{l} Y_{i,1}.$$

Since Y is finite, we may assume by Lemma 2.6 that X contains Y.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let $\widehat{d}_i$ denote the relative metric in the Cayley graph

$$\Gamma(G, X \sqcup (E_G(a_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup E_G(a_k))$$

which is induced by the hyperbolic embedding $\{E_G(a_1), \dots, E_G(a_k)\} \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ and corresponds to $E_G(a_i)$ (see Definition 2.3). Since for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the element a_i has infinite

order and the metric space $(E_G(a_i), \widehat{d}_i)$ is proper, we may choose $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ and any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$,

$$\widehat{d}_i((a_i^\gamma)^\beta, 1) > 2C \max\{m_1, \ldots, m_l\}$$

where C is the constant given by Lemma 2.8. By (1), there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that at least $m_j + 1$ elements of the set $\{a_1^\gamma, \ldots, a_k^\gamma\}$ satisfy the equation $p_j(x) = 1$. Without loss of generality, the elements $a_1^\gamma, \ldots, a_{m_j+1}^\gamma$ satisfy $p_j(x) = 1$.

Let Q be the subset of all $q(x) \in G * \langle x \rangle$ of the form

$$q(x) = h_1 x^{\beta_1} h_2 x^{\beta_2} \ldots h_N x^{\beta_N}$$

for some $N \leq m_j$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

(a) $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_N \in Y_{j,N}$.

(b) if $N \geq 2$, then $h_1, \ldots, h_N \neq 1$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N \neq 0$.

(c) $q(x) = 1$ is $C_G(E_G(H))$-equivalent to $p_j(x) = 1$ (see Definition 3.8).

Certainly Q is non-empty since $p_j(x)$ satisfies conditions (a), (b), and (c). Let $q(x)$ be an element of Q whose corresponding value of N is minimal. We claim that $N = 1$ for $q(x)$.

Assuming that this is not the case, let $q(x) = h_1 x^{\beta_1} h_2 x^{\beta_2} \ldots h_N x^{\beta_N}$ for some $N \geq 2$ where $h_1, \ldots, h_N \in Y_{j,N}\backslash\{1\}$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m_j + 1\}$, we have that $a_i^\gamma \in C_G(E_G(H)) \cap H$ is a solution to the equation $p_j(x) = 1$ and hence by (c) to the equation $q(x) = 1$. Since

$$q(a_i^\gamma) = h_1 (a_i^\gamma)^{\beta_1} h_2 (a_i^\gamma)^{\beta_2} \ldots h_N (a_i^\gamma)^{\beta_N} = 1,$$

we have by Lemma 3.5 that there exists $r_i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ for which $h_{r_i} \in E_G(a_i)$. Since this is true for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m_j + 1\}$ and since $N \leq m_j$, there exist $s, t \in \{1, \ldots, m_j + 1\}$ with $s \neq t$ such that $r_s = r_t$ so that $h_{r_s} \in E_G(a_s) \cap E_G(a_t) = E_G(H)$ (see (2)).

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r_s = 2$ (otherwise, take a cyclic permutation of $q(x)$). Then, since $h_2 \in E_G(H)$, the equation $q(x) = 1$ (and hence $p_j(x) = 1$) is $C_G(E_G(H))$-equivalent to $q'(x) = 1$ where

$$q'(x) = (h_1 h_2) x^{\beta_1 + \beta_2} \ldots h_N x^{\beta_N}.$$

Furthermore, since $h_1, h_2, h_3, \ldots, h_N \in Y_{j,N}$, we have that $(h_1 h_2), h_3, \ldots, h_N \in Y_{j,N-1}$. Thus we have an element $q'(x) \in Q$ which contradicts our choice of $q(x)$ (namely the minimality of N). Therefore, $N = 1$ and so $q(x) = h_1 x^{\beta_1}$ for some $h_1 \in G$ and $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It must also be that $\beta_1 = 0$, since otherwise $q(a_1^\gamma) = q(a_2^\gamma) = 1$ implies that there exists a non-zero integer β_1 such that $a_1^{\gamma\beta_1} = a_2^{\gamma\beta_1}$, showing that a_1 and a_2 are commensurable. Therefore $q(x) = h_1 \in G$ and hence it must be that $h_1 = 1$. The equation $q(x) = 1$ is trivially satisfied by all elements of $C_G(E_G(H))$, and therefore each $c \in C_G(E_G(H))$ also satisfies the equation $p_j(x) = 1$ by (c). Thus we obtain that

$$C_G(E_G(H)) \subseteq V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \{g \in G \,|\, p_i(g) = 1\}$$

as desired. □

Below is a well-known result that we will utilize in the proof of Theorem 1.8:

Lemma 3.10. *For any group G, any subgroup $H \leq G$, and any finite subset $F \subseteq G$ which is normalized by H, the centralizer $C_H(F)$ of F in H has finite index in H.*

Proof. Since F is normalized by H, H acts on F by conjugation, yielding a natural homomorphism $H \to S_{|F|}$. The kernel of this homomorphism is precisely $C_H(F)$ and has index in H equal to the order of the image of H in $S_{|F|}$, which is finite since $S_{|F|}$ is finite. □

We can now prove Theorem 1.8:

Proof of Theorem 1.8. To show the forward implication, observe that $C_G(E_G(H)) \leq H$ by Theorem 3.9 so that in particular, $C_G(E_G(H)) = C_H(E_G(H))$. (Apply Theorem 3.9 to the case where H is its own Zariski closure.) Then, by Lemma 3.10, we have that $C_G(E_G(H)) = C_H(E_G(H))$ is of finite index in H.

To show the reverse implication, we simply note that Example 1.3 and Corollary 2.2 together imply that virtual centralizers of finite subgroups are always algebraic. □

4 Applications

4.1 Free products

Proof of Corollary 1.9. Let T be the Bass-Serre tree corresponding to the graph of groups with vertex groups A and B and trivial edge group. It is easy to see that the natural action of $A * B$ on T is acylindrical. (This is a particular case of [14, Lem. 5.2].) By Theorem 1.8, either the action of H on T is elementary, or H is a virtual centralizer of some finite subgroup of $A * B$.

Before addressing each case, it will be helpful to recall the Kurosh Subgroup Theorem [11], which states that any subgroup K of $A * B$ decomposes as a free product

$$K = F(X) * (*_{i \in I} g_i^{-1} A_i g_i) * (*_{j \in J} h_j^{-1} B_j h_j) \tag{3}$$

where $X \subseteq G$ freely generates a subgroup of G, for all $i \in I$ we have $g_i \in A * B$ and $A_i \leq A$, and for all $j \in J$ we have $h_j \in A * B$ and $B_j \leq B$.

Assume first that H acts elementarily on T. Then by Theorem 2.14, either H is virtually cyclic and contains a loxodromic element or H acts on T with bounded orbits. If H is virtually cyclic, then since H decomposes as a free product as in (3), it must be that either

$$H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong D_\infty$$

or H is equal to just a single factor of the free product in (3). In the latter case, either $H = F(X)$ (in which case H is cyclic), or H is conjugate to a subgroup of either A or B

(which is not possible if H contains a loxodromic element since conjugates of A and B are vertex stabilizers of T and hence act with bounded orbits).

If H acts with bounded orbits, then by [4, Cor. 2.8], H fixes a point in T. Since the edge stabilizers of T are trivial and the vertex stabilizers of T are conjugates of A and B, H is conjugate to a subgroup of either A or B.

Now assume that H is a virtual centralizer of a finite subgroup $F \leq A * B$. If F is trivial, then $H = A * B$. If F is non-trivial, then F decomposes as in (3), and in particular, F must be equal to some non-trivial $g_i^{-1}A_ig_i$ or $h_j^{-1}B_jh_j$. Without loss of generality, assume $F \leq g_i^{-1}A_ig_i \neq \{1\}$.

If $H \leq g_i^{-1}Ag_i$, then we are done. So assume there exists $g \in H \backslash g_i^{-1}Ag_i$. Since H is a virtual centralizer of F, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that g^n centralizes F. In particular, $[g^n, f] = 1$ for some $f \in g_i^{-1}Ag_i \backslash \{1\}$. But this is a nontrivial relation between two distinct factors of the free product decomposition in (3) and is thus a contradiction. □

4.2 Torsion-free relatively hyperbolic groups

In this subsection, we prove Corollary 1.10, but to do so, we will need the two lemmas below:

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be an abelian group and let S be an algebraic subset of A. Suppose that there exists an infinite-order element $h \in A$ such that $|\langle h \rangle \cap S| = \infty$. Then $S = A$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement in the case where S is the union of finitely many primitive solution sets, since if

$$S = \cap_{i \in I} S_i$$

where I is some indexing set and each S_i is a union of finitely many primitive solution sets, then we will have shown for each $i \in I$ that $S_i = A$, so indeed $S = A$. So let $p_1(x), \ldots, p_n(x)$ be elements of $A * \langle x \rangle$ such that

$$S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{a \in A \,|\, p_i(a) = 1\}.$$

Furthermore, since A is abelian, we may assume that for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the equation $p_i(x) = 1$ is of the form $a_i x^{m_i} = 1$ for some $a_i \in A$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $|\langle h \rangle \cap S| = \infty$, there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \neq l$ such that $a_i(h^k)^{m_i} = 1$ and $a_i(h^l)^{m_i} = 1$. Then $h^{km_i} = h^{lm_i}$, and since h has infinite order, it must be that $m_i = 0$. But then $a_i = 1$ and the equation $p_i(x) = 1$ is trivially satisfied by all elements of A so that $S = A$ as desired. □

Corollary 4.2. *All proper algebraic subgroups of abelian groups are torsion.*

Proof. If $H \leq A$ is an algebraic subgroup, then for each $h \in H$, either h has finite order or we may apply Lemma 4.1 to h to conclude that $H = A$. □

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that G is a group, A is an abelian hyperbolically embedded subgroup of G, S is an algebraic subset of G, H is a subgroup of G contained in S, and there exists $g \in G$ such that $H \leq g^{-1}Ag$. Then either H is torsion or $g^{-1}Ag \subseteq S$.*

Proof. Since the Zariski topology is invariant under conjugation by Remark 2.1 and since conjugation preserves the orders of group elements, we may assume that $g = 1$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, it suffices to prove the lemma in the case when S is the union of finitely many primitive solution sets.

Assume that H is not torsion. The majority of the proof below is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9.

Let $p_1(x), \dots, p_l(x) \in G * \langle x \rangle$ such that

$$H \subseteq S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \{k \in G \,|\, p_i(k) = 1\}.$$

We may assume as in the the proof of Theorem 3.9 that $p_i(x)$ is of the form

$$p_i(x) = g_{1,i}x^{\alpha_{1,i}}g_{2,i}x^{\alpha_{2,i}} \dots g_{m_i,i}x^{\alpha_{m_i,i}}$$

where $g_{1,i}, \dots, g_{m_i,i} \in G$ and $\alpha_{1,i}, \dots, \alpha_{m_i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and if $m_i \geq 2$, then $g_{1,i}, \dots, g_{m_i,i} \neq 1$ and $\alpha_{1,i}, \dots, \alpha_{m_i,i} \neq 0$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and each $n \leq m_i$, define $Y_{i,n}$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.9. Then $A \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$ for some $X \subseteq G$, and we may assume by Lemma 2.6 that X contains $\cup_{i=1}^{l} Y_{i,1}$

Let $\widehat{d}_A$ denote the relative metric in the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup A)$ induced by the hyperbolic embedding $A \hookrightarrow_h (G, X)$. Since H contains some element h of infinite order and the metric space $(A, \widehat{d}_A)$ is proper, there exists a strictly ascending sequence of natural numbers $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots$ such that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ and any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\widehat{d}_A((h^{\gamma_i})^{\beta}, 1) > 2C \max\{m_1, \dots, m_l\}$$

where C is the constant given by Lemma 2.8. Since for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $h^{\gamma_i} \in H \subseteq S$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and a subsequence of $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ whose corresponding h^{γ_i} satisfy the equation $p_j(x) = 1$. Without loss of generality, take $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be this subsequence.

Let Q be the subset of all $q(x) \in G * \langle x \rangle$ of the form

$$q(x) = h_1 x^{\beta_1} h_2 x^{\beta_2} \dots h_N x^{\beta_N}$$

for some $N \leq m_j$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

(a) $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_N \in Y_{j,N}$.

(b) if $N \geq 2$, then $h_1, \dots, h_N \neq 1$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N \neq 0$

(c) $q(x) = 1$ is A-equivalent to $p_j(x) = 1$ (see Definition 3.8).

Certainly Q is non-empty since $p_j(x)$ satisfies conditions (a), (b), and (c). Let $q(x)$ be an element of Q whose corresponding value of N is minimal.

Since

$$\widehat{d}_A((h^{\gamma_1})^\beta, 1) > 2C \max\{m_1, \dots, m_l\},$$

we may argue as in the proof of Theorem 3.9 that if $N \neq 1$ then there exists $r \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ for which h_r is in A. Without loss of generality, $r = 2$. Letting

$$q'(x) = (h_1 h_2) x^{\beta_1 + \beta_2} \dots h_N x^{\beta_N},$$

we see that since A is abelian, $q'(x)$ is in Q, which contradicts the minimality of N. Thus it must be that $N = 1$ for $q(x)$.

Since $N = 1$, we have $h_1 (h^{\gamma_1})^{\beta_1} = 1$ so that $h_1 \in A$. Then $q(x) = 1$ is an equation with coefficients in A which is A-equivalent to $p_j(x) = 1$, so $\{k \in G \,|\, p_j(k) = 1\} \cap A$ is an algebraic subset of A. Since $h \in A$ has infinite order and $h^{\gamma_i} \in \{k \in G \,|\, p_j(k) = 1\} \cap A$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$|\langle h \rangle \cap \{k \in G \,|\, p_j(k) = 1\} \cap A| = \infty,$$

so $\{k \in G \,|\, p_j(k) = 1\} \cap A = A$ by Lemma 4.1. Thus $A \subseteq S$ as desired. □

We can now prove Corollary 1.10:

Proof of Corollary 1.10. Let $\mathcal{H} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$. By [15, Thm. 5.2], for any finite relative generating set X of G, the action of G on $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ is acylindrical. If H is non-elementary, then G is acylindrically hyperbolic, so $H = G$ by Theorem 1.8. Otherwise, the action of H on $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ is elementary. If this is the case, then by Theorem 2.14, H is either elliptic or virtually cyclic (and hence cyclic).

So now let H be elliptic. We want to show that $H \leq g^{-1} H_\lambda g$ for some $g \in G, \lambda \in \Lambda$. Since G is torsion-free, we have by [18, Thm. 1.14] that every element of G which is not conjugate to an element of H_λ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ acts on $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ with unbounded orbits. Hence there exists $a \in (H \cap H_\lambda) \backslash \{1\}$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (unless $H = \{1\}$, in which case we are done). It suffices to show that every $b \in H$ belongs to the same H_λ.

If there exists $b \in H \backslash H_\lambda$, then by Lemma 2.6 we may assume that $b \in X$ so that $d_{X \sqcup \mathcal{H}}(1, b) = 1$. By [16, Lem. 4.4], there exists a finite subset $F_\lambda \subseteq H_\lambda$ such that if $h \in H_\lambda \backslash F_\lambda$, $b \in G \backslash H_\lambda$, and $d_{X \sqcup \mathcal{H}}(1, b) = 1$, then bh acts on $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ with unbounded orbits. Since a is nontrivial, we have that for large enough n, $a^n \notin F_\lambda$ so that ba^n acts on $\Gamma(G, X \sqcup \mathcal{H})$ with unbounded orbits. This contradicts the assumption that H is elliptic. This completes the proof of the first statement of Corollary 1.10.

To prove the second statement of the corollary, assume that H is conjugate to a subgroup of some abelian H_λ. Then $H_\lambda \hookrightarrow_h G$, and since H is torsion-free, we have by Lemma 4.3 that $H = \{1\}$ or H is conjugate to H_λ. □

4.3 Ascending chains of algebraic subgroups

In general, ascending chains of algebraic subgroups need not stabilize, even in acylindrically hyperbolic groups. For example, consider the following construction:

Example 4.4. Consider a group with presentation $\langle X|R\rangle$ that contains an infinite ascending chain of subgroups

$$K_1 \leq K_2 \leq \dots$$

where for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $K_i \neq K_{i+1}$. Let

$$H = \langle X, a, t_1, t_2, \dots \mid R, [X, a] = 1, [K_1, t_1] = 1, [K_2, t_2] = 1, \dots \rangle.$$

Then $G = H * \mathbb{Z}$ is acylindrically hyperbolic with non-elementary acylindrical action on the Bass-Serre tree corresponding to the graph of groups with vertex groups H and $\mathbb{Z}$ and trivial edge group. Furthermore, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$K_i = \{x \in H * \mathbb{Z} \mid [x, t_i] = 1\} \cap \{x \in H * \mathbb{Z} \mid [x, a] = 1\}$$

so that $K_1 \leq K_2 \leq \dots$ is an ascending chain of algebraic subgroups of G that does not stabilize.

However, ascending chains of algebraic subgroups in acylindrically hyperbolic groups are subject to the property given by Corollary 1.11, whose proof is given below:

Proof of Corollary 1.11. Assume that condition (a) fails. Then there exists a hyperbolic space S such that G acts on S acylindrically and, by Theorem 2.14, $\cup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} H_i$ contains an element h which is loxodromic with respect to this action. Hence there exists some $I \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h \in H_I$. Then exactly one of two things is true: either $\langle h\rangle \leq H_i \leq E_G(h)$ for all $i \geq I$, where $\langle h\rangle$ is a finite index subgroup of $E_G(h)$ (in which case the chain clearly stabilizes), or there exists $I' \geq I$ such that H_i acts non-elementarily on S for all $i \geq I'$.

In the latter case, we have by Theorem 1.8 that for each $i \geq I'$

$$C_G(E_G(H_i)) \leq H_i \leq N_G(E_G(H_i)),$$

where $C_G(E_G(H_i))$ is a finite index subgroup of $N_G(E_G(H_i))$ since $E_G(H_i)$ is finite. Moreover, by definition, $E_G(H_{i+1}) \leq E_G(H_i)$ for all $i \geq I'$, and since $E_G(H_{I'})$ is finite, the sequence $\{E_G(H_i)\}_{i\geq I'}$ stabilizes. Hence there exists $I'' \geq I'$ such that

$$C_G(E_G(H_{I''})) \leq H_i \leq N_G(E_G(H_{I''}))$$

for all $i \geq I''$, where $C_G(E_G(H_{I''}))$ is a finite index subgroup of $N_G(E_G(H_{I''}))$, so the chain $H_1 \leq H_2 \leq H_3 \leq \dots$ must stabilize. □

References

[1] Y. Antolin, A. Minasyan, A. Sisto, Commensurating endomorphisms of acylindrically hyperbolic groups and applications, arXiv:1310.8605, to appear in *Groups Geom. Dyn.*

[2] G. Baumslag, A. Myasnikov, and V. Remeslennikov, Algebraic geometry over groups I. Algebraic sets and ideal theory, *J. Algebra* **219** (1999), no. 1, 1679.

[3] M. Bestvina, K. Fujiwara, Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups, *Geom. Topol.* **6** (2002), 69-89.

[4] M. Bridson, A. Haefliger, Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **319**, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[5] R. Bryant, The verbal topology of a group, *J. Algebra* **48** (1977), no. 2, 340-346.

[6] F. Dahmani, Combination of convergence groups, *Geom. Topol.* **7** (2003), 933-963.

[7] F. Dahmani, V. Guirardel, D. Osin, Hyperbolically embedded subgroups and rotating families in groups acting on hyperbolic spaces, arXiv:1111.7048, to appear in *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*

[8] B. Farb, Relatively hyperbolic groups, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **8** (1998), 810-840.

[9] M. Hull, Small cancellation in acylindrically hyperbolic groups, arXiv:1308.4345, to appear in *Groups Geom. Dyn.*

[10] O. Kharlampovich and A. Myasnikov, Definable sets in a hyperbolic group, *Internat. J. of Algebra and Comput.* **23** (2013), no. 1, 91-110.

[11] A. G. Kurosh, Die Untergruppen der freien Produkte von beliebigen Gruppen. Mathematische Annalen, **109** (1934), 647-660 (in German).

[12] A. Klyachko, A. Olshanskii, D. Osin, On topologizable and non-topologizable groups, *Topology Appl.* **160** (2013), no. 16, 2104-2120.

[13] A. A. Markov, On unconditionally closed sets, *Comptes Rendus Dokl. AN SSSR* (N.S.) **44** (1944), 180-181 (in Russian).

[14] A. Minasyan, D. Osin, Acylindrical hyperbolicity of groups acting on trees, *Math. Ann.* **362** (2015), no. 3-4, 1055-1105.

[15] D. Osin, Acylindrically hyperbolic groups, arXiv:1304.1246, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*

[16] D. Osin, Elementary subgroups of relatively hyperbolic groups and bounded generation, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **16** (2006), no. 1, 99-118.

[17] D. Osin, On acylindrical hyperbolicity of groups with positive first ℓ^2-Betti number, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **47** (2015), no. 5, 725-730.

[18] D. Osin, Relatively hyperbolic groups: Intrinsic geometry, algebraic properties, and algorithmic problems, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **179** (2006), no. 843.

[19] Z. Sela, Diophantine geometry over groups VII. The elementary theory of a hyperbolic group, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **99** (2009), no. 1, 217-273.

Bryan Jacobson: Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37240, U.S.A.
E-mail: *bryan.j.jacobson@vanderbilt.edu*